1					
2					
3	UNITED STATES GRAND JURY				
4	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
5	X UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :				
6	-v- : November 19, 2019 Additional				
7	GHISLAINE MAXWELL :				
8	(2018R01618)				
9					
10	United States Courthouse 300 Quaroppas Street				
11	White Plains, New York				
12	July 8, 2020 10:05 a.m.				
13					
14					
15	APPEARANCES:				
16	Assistant United States Attorney				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	Acting Grand Jury Reporter				
25					
	FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947				

PROCEEDINGS

$^{\sim}$	١.	
4		

(10:05 a.m.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let the record reflect that there are 17 grand jurors present and that the foreperson is present.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is and I am an Assistant United States Attorney here in the Southern District of New York.

Can everyone hear me okay? All right. I see everybody's heads nodding. Thank you.

I am here today to present for your consideration a proposed superseding indictment charging Ghislaine Maxwell in six counts. This indictment is virtually identical to the indictment that you returned last week charging Maxwell in those same six counts except that it corrects two clerical errors, or two typographical errors that were contained in Counts Five and Six which are the perjury charges.

As a result, I will not be presenting any new evidence or new witnesses today. Instead, I will identify for you those two clerical errors in the indictment. I will point out the portion of the exhibits that you saw last week that provide the correct information. Then I will identify the corrected portion of the superseding indictment in the proposed superseding indictment.

Before I do that though, I must ask you all the

Next, have you any heard or read about, or learned anything Ghislaine Maxwell, Jeffrey Epstein or anyone else related to this case, if you could just raise your hand? I see a number of hands. Virtually every hand is in the air. You can all put your hands down. Thank you.

As you know, you must make your determination as a grand jury based on the evidence presented before you in the grand jury, in this room alone. Accordingly, you must set aside any other knowledge you may have about this case or the people involved in it, and base your decision solely on the evidence presented to this grand jury to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that the charges in the proposed indictment were committed.

Is there any grand juror here today who cannot follow these instructions? I see no hands. Just to be sure, is there any grand juror here who would have any difficulty setting aside the outside information you may have about the defendant or the facts of this case? I see no hands.

Finally, do any of you believe you know me in anything other than my professional capacity? I see no

hands.

Now, as I mentioned, I will not be calling any witnesses today. Instead I will present you with the exhibits from last week, the transcript of the testimony you heard from last week and the proposed superseding indictment. I will then walk you through the error that the superseding indictment seeks to correct.

Please keep in mind though that what I say is not evidence. Only the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits are evidence. So as I'm walking you through these exhibits, your understanding of them and your understanding of the evidence controls. I am just trying to provide you with an overview.

With that instruction in mind, I am going to put up onto the Elmo what was previously received and marked as Grand Jury Exhibit 1. That is the proposed six-count indictment that you voted to return last week. A copy of it will be available for review when you deliberate.

You also received what has been marked as Grand

Jury Exhibit 2, which I will not put up on the Elmo. That

was a PowerPoint presentation containing photographs and

excerpts of certain documents that was shown to you during

the testimony last week. You also heard testimony from FBI

Special Agent

Today I am marking, as Grand Jury Exhibit 3, a

Finally, I am marking, as Grand Jury Exhibit 4,
the proposed superseding indictment, which I have now placed
up on the Elmo. As I mentioned, this proposed superseding
indictment corrects two clerical errors and is otherwise
identical to the original indictment, Grand Jury Exhibit 1.

I'm now going to walk you through the errors that we're going to correct starting on Grand Jury Exhibit 1.

Page 15 contains the beginning of Count Five which charges

Maxwell with perjury. Paragraph 21 on that page refers to the civil case in which Maxwell gave deposition testimony on April 22nd, 2016, as 15 Civ. 7344. That is the error we are going to correct.

Similarly, page 16 contains the beginning of Count Six which charges another count of perjury. Paragraph 23 on that page refers to the civil case in which Maxwell gave deposition testimony on July 22nd, 2016, under the same number, 15 Civ. 7344.

Turning now to Grand Jury Exhibit 2. Slide 22 of that exhibit contains the first page of the deposition transcript from the testimony that Maxwell gave on April 22nd, 2016. As you can see here, the docket number

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that was before you when you considered the indictment last week.

Does anyone have any questions about that evidence? I see no hands.

The legal instructions that you received before

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and

accurate transcription, to the best of my skill and ability, from my electronic notes of this proceeding.



Acting Grand Jury Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.